

With respect, the source you cite [the source referred to: <https://winstonchurchill.org/resources/in-the-media/churchill-in-the-news/indias-famine-would-have-been-worse/> ] is hardly the only one to claim that Churchill and his government's policies directly and unquestionably contributed to massive death and suffering in the case of the Bengal Famine. While Churchill's role in opposing Hitler is significant historically, as I mentioned and Jon quoted, I don't think the masses of brown and Black people who he and his fellow ruling elites colonized, dispossessed, exploited, and consigned to oblivion would agree with your laudatory and rose-coloured characterization. The problem with your attempt at rescuing the "great man's" legacy is that his attitudes and intentions are betrayed by the historical record and Churchill's own words. Complexity is important, apologies for imperialism and genocide aren't.